

00049

1969/02/10

TELEGRAM

OUTGOING

Ambassy WARSAW

Foreign Service of the
United States of America

T.S./POL
AMB
DCM
CHRON

SECRET

POL 7 AMBACHICOM

Control: 348/041

Date: 10 Feb 69

ACTION: Secstate WASHINGTON PRIORITY 8122

Ambassador's copy

WARSAW 348

LINDIS

STATE 20550 and 20636

1. AmbOff telephoned Chinese Embassy February 10 and asked LO about interpreter and whether meeting to be conducted in English and Chinese. Also invited him to call and pick up letter. Lo said he would call back.

2. On returning call hour and a half later, Lo said that since letter was for delivery to Chinese Ambassador (sic), Chinese side thinks it should be delivered to their Embassy as usual. They ready "at any time" to receive it. Said it was not convenient to come to American Embassy at this time. AmbOff regretted his inconvenience, said that he was very busy in his office, but that letter would be held here. Then took up second subject.

3. Lo said that ^{his} ~~his government~~ has not yet received from his government information regarding language of talks.

4. Embassy notes implication of reciprocity in Lo's statement that since letter is for their Ambassador, it

POL:TJBoonlon/afm 2/10/69

AMB - Walter J. Stoessel, Jr.

WJ:WJenkins, Jr.

SECRET

TELEGRAM

OUTGOING

Foreign Service of the
United States of America

Charge:

SECRET

Control:

2

Date:

should be delivered there. Perhaps they would be willing to deliver letter to us.

5. Comment: While we agree with desirability achieving reciprocity in procedures for handling letters, hope we can avoid situation of impasse where our ability to receive or communicate important message might be jeopardized.

6. On basis Lo's comment, Embassy recommends our letter be delivered, and that at time of delivery EmbOff inform Chinese verbally that when they have letter for our Ambassador we will expect them to call on us.

GP-3.

STORSEEL

SECRET

PARTIAL TRANSCRIPTION FOLLOWS

10 FEB 69

ACTION: Secstate WASHINGTON PRIORITY 8122

WARSAW 348

LINDIS STATE 20550 and 20836

1. EmbOFF telephoned Chinese Embassy February 10 and asked LO about interpreter and whether meeting to be conducted in English and Chinese. Also invited him to call and pick up letter. Lo said he would call back.
2. On returning call hour and a half later LO said that since letter was for delivery to Chinese Ambassador (sic), Chinese side thinks it should be delivered to their Embassy as usual. They ready "at any time" to receive it. Said it was not convenient to come to American Embassy at this time. EmbOff regretted his inconvenience, said that he was very busy in his office, but that letter would be held here. Then took up second subject.
3. Lo said that his government has not yet received from his government information regarding language of talks.
4. Embassy notes implication of reciprocity in Lo's statement that since letter is for their Ambassador, it

should be delivered there. Perhaps they would be willing to deliver letter to us.

5. Comment: While we agree with desirability achieving reciprocity in procedures for handling letters, hope we can avoid situation of impasse where our ability to receive or communicate important message might be jeopardized.

6. On basis Lo's comment, Embassy recommends our letter be delivered, and at that at time of delivery EmbOff inform Chinese verbally that when they have letter for our Ambassador we will expect them to call on us.

GP-3.